



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/537,417	06/02/2005	Kimiyasu Satoh	267653US6PCT	6457
22850 7590 05/27/2010 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314				
EXAMINER CHOWDHURY, AFROZA Y				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2629				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
05/27/2010		ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com
oblonpat@oblon.com
jgardner@oblon.com

1 RECORD OF ORAL HEARING
2 UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
3
4 BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
5 AND INTERFERENCES

6 *Ex Parte* KIMIYASU SATOH and HIROYUKI INOKAWA

7
8 Appeal 2009-008795
9 Application 10/537,417
10 Technology Center 2600

11 Oral Hearing Held: April 13, 2010

12
13 Before MARC S. HOFF, CARLA M. KRIVAK, and
14 THOMAS S. HAHN, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

15
16 APPEARANCES:

17 ON BEHALF OF THE APPELLANT:

18 KEVIN M. MCKINLEY, ESQUIRE
19 Oblon, Spivak, McClelland, Maier & Neustadt, LLP
20 1940 Duke Street
21 Alexandria, Virginia 22314
22
23
24
25
26

1 THE USHER: Calendar No. 13, Appeal No. 2009-008795. Mr. McKinley?

2 MR. McKINLEY: Thank you. I have a card.

3 JUDGE HOFF: Good morning.

4 MR. McKINLEY: Good morning, Your Honors. In this appeal, one
5 of the important features of our invention, which we don't think is taught by
6 the applied art in this case, is that we have a control portion, which is recited
7 in Independent Claim 1 as well as Independent Claim 12, and what the
8 control portion does is drives our operation surface in two directions. It's
9 driven in one direction for a period of time, and then it's driven in a second
10 direction for a different period of time, okay. The best -- I think the best
11 figure to explain this briefly is our Figure 4. As you can see, the Y axis is
12 the deformation amount of the operation surface. Before a user presses the
13 operation surface, which is at T 401, you can see that the piezoelectric
14 actuators do not curve. You can see the zero on the X axis. When the
15 operation -- when the user presses the surface at T 401, you can see the
16 gradual increase in deformation up to the time T 402 -- at that point, it
17 reaches its maximum rise. And during this time, T 1, the actuator is driven
18 to cause the operation surface to deform, in this case upwards, and like I
19 said, until it reaches T 402 at the max rise. And then you can see between
20 T 402 and T 403 for the time period T 2, it then decreases or is deformed in
21 the reverse direction, and it's deformed in a max amount in the opposite
22 direction. The deformation in the upper direction for T 1 is discussed in the
23 specification on page 18, lines 22 to 25, and then the time period T 2 is
24 discussed in the spec on page 19, lines 3 to 5. And what this feature does is
25 when someone presses the operation surface, there is a gradual deformation
26 over a longer period of time, T 1, in the direction that the user is -- against

1 the finger in the direction of the user's finger, and then it's quickly reversed
2 direction and deformed in the opposite direction, and that's again T 2, and
3 the gradual increase in the one direction towards the user's finger is not,
4 according to the teaching in the spec, is not felt greatly by the user. But then
5 when -- and then okay, I'm sorry, so it's not felt by the user as much, and
6 then you achieve this maximum rise. But then there's a quick change to the
7 maximum -- the other direction, the reverse direction, and the user can
8 greatly feel the reverse direction movement, because it's over a shorter
9 period of time, and it's deforming from a max position to the maximum
10 lower position, and it gives the user a good click sense is how they describe
11 it in the specification. So it indicates to the user that wherever they pressed,
12 you know, they know that the device, whatever it is, has detected where
13 they're pressing.

14 In the applied art -- I'm sorry, actually in the specification, I'll just
15 read a paragraph which captures what I just talked about. On page 30 is one
16 spot, teaches us the touch panel portion 2, and that's the top surface of the
17 device, is deformed as shown in Figure 4, and that's what we just talked
18 about. In other words, when the touch panel portion 2 is pressed, it's
19 deformed in the reverse direction of which it is pressed. Thereafter, the
20 touch panel 2 is largely deformed in the direction of which it is pressed.
21 Immediately after the touch panel 2 portion is pressed, since it is gradually
22 deformed, the user does not almost feel the deformation. Thereafter, when
23 the touch panel portion is quickly deformed in the direction of which it is
24 pressed in the claim language, reverse direction, the user feels a clear click
25 sense. As a result, since the user can feel a more natural and clear click
26 sense than he or she can do with the radar, the user's operational sense is

1 improved. In addition, the noise level decreases, and it also has a function of
2 conserving power in the way this operates.

3 In the Examiner's Answer to just begin discussion of the applied art,
4 on page 9 in paragraph 10, response to argument, the Examiner respectfully
5 disagrees with the statement that teaches -- that we argue that didn't teach
6 this feature, Shigeki, S-h-i-g-e-k-i, teaches that an input device where a
7 control portion, in paragraph 46, controls the deformation mechanism to
8 start driving the actuator to gradually deform the operation surface in one
9 direction. Then the Examiner puts (pressed by finger). So I mean the
10 Examiner appears to admit that it's not being driven in the first direction. It's
11 actually just -- it's being pressed. There's no control portion that is driving
12 the actuator to deform the surface in the one direction. And the Examiner
13 goes on to say and then in the reverse direction back to the original position
14 when not pressed. Then the Examiner says Shigeki does not teach that the
15 input device is a piezoelectric actuator. The Examiner then goes on to talk
16 about Yoshitaka, which is the second reference, and the Examiner points to
17 paragraphs, among other paragraphs, 50 and 51, but there doesn't seem to be
18 a clear -- I'm sorry, actually, let me back up for a second. Let me go back to
19 the reference Shigeki. The Examiner does point to paragraphs 0040 for
20 teaching this feature of driving it in one direction and driving it in the second
21 direction. However, in paragraph 0040, what Shigeki is using is a bobbin
22 coil to, as they say it, as a sense of force device, and user's finger 19 is put
23 back to a user's side. So what this does is it will drive the surface towards
24 the user's finger and that's it. It just allows the user to know that it's been
25 pressed. And again, towards the end of paragraph 0040, as sign 5 showed,
26 sign 5 is shown in thrust curves in the drawings. User's finger 19 is put back

1 to the user's side, and a user can perceive having been inputted as a sense of
2 force. So basically, what Shigeki is teaching is to resist a user's finger when
3 it's pressed on the surface. Again, this is -- our argument is that this is
4 teaching only one direction.

5 The second reference of Yoshitaka it's -- as I alluded to earlier, it's
6 unclear exactly how the Examiner is applying this, but the paragraphs 50 and
7 51, which are discussed in the Office Actions and also in the Examiner's
8 Answer, teach -- appear to teach that what it talks about in 50 and 51 is
9 determining the location of the pressing of the finger on the control surface.
10 And it's determining the location point P(XY) and a thrust force def
11 (phonetic sp.) is assumed. It's not -- and then actually the next paragraph 51
12 teaches again just more about determining the XK and YK coordinates from
13 the value of the pressing of the -- by the user. And what I gather from this
14 reference is what it does is determines the location of the person pressing
15 and also the thrust force applied by the user, and when the force is greater
16 than some threshold value, then a signal, I'll read from the abstract, a high-
17 frequency is applied to the piezoelectric elements E134, and thus the
18 operation surface is vibrated. So -- but in none of the Office Actions or in
19 the Examiner's Answer did he discuss a vibration. It's unclear how he's --
20 how is he -- how he's using this reference in addition to the teaching of
21 Shigeki. However, even with that possible reasoning by the Examiner,
22 there's still no teaching in either of those two references we've discussed so
23 far that talks about having the time difference driven in one direction for a
24 sufficiently larger period of time than being driven in the reverse direction as
25 claimed.

26

To that point, the Examiner actually admits on the back page in the Examiner's Answer, page 5, Shigeki (as modified by Yoshitaka) does not teach a period for which the operation surface is deformed in one direction is sufficiently larger than a period for which the operation surface is deformed in the reverse direction when said pressed force detection portion detects the operation surface has been pressed. The Examiner goes on to use this Divigalpitiya reference to make up for these deficiencies. However, we disagree that it does. In paragraph -- the Examiner cites to paragraph 33, but also in paragraph 0029 and 0033, the -- this third reference, Divigalpitiya, is teaching in Figure 1 an electronic device. And Figure 1 merely shows the surface -- first conductor surface 110, second conductor 120, with a gap in between 130 which is made of some composite material. And in paragraph 29, it begins a discussion of what this composite material is made up of. The composite material disposed between the two conductors includes conductive particles at least partially embedded in the insulating material as discussed in 2933. The insulating material preferably has a deformability and a resiliency that allows electrical contacts to be made upon the application of pressure and the electrical contacts to be broken when the pressure is released. That's also discussed in the beginning of paragraph 33, and in the middle of paragraph 33, it is preferable that both response time described as the time it takes to activate the device upon application of sufficient pressure and the relaxation time of the device described as the time it takes to restore an open circuit upon cessation of sufficient pressure are sufficiently fast given the particular application so that another input can be registered at the same location within the desirable amount of time.

1 So what this reference is merely teaching is picking an appropriate
2 material, and the surface is merely restored through resiliency to its original
3 position. It's not being driven by an actuator in -- for over a period of time,
4 and even if there's reference to teach it, as I just read, both the response time
5 and the relaxation time are sufficiently fast. So it appears to teach that
6 they're the same rate as opposed to one being sufficiently larger than the
7 other as claimed. So even if the combination of the three references still
8 don't teach in our view about deforming a surface one direction, deform it in
9 a reverse direction, one time sufficiently larger than the second time as
10 claimed in and as I discussed with respect to Figure 4.

11 That's all the comments I had about our claimed features.

12 JUDGE HOFF: Judge Krivak, do you have questions?

13 JUDGE KRIVAK: Let me just take a quick look here.

14 No, no more -- no questions.

15 JUDGE HOFF: Judge Hahn?

16 JUDGE HAHN: I have none.

17 JUDGE HOFF: Thank you for your time.

18 MR. McKINLEY: Thank you very much.

19 JUDGE KRIVAK: Thank you very much.

20 Whereupon, the proceedings, at 11:18 a.m., were concluded.